Spring 2024

Lecture 14 - Mar 4

Instructor: Shashanka Ubaru

Scribe: Jeil Oh

# Stochastic Trace Estimation

## Matrix Trace

• Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  our goal is to compute the trace:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_{ii}.$$

• In terms of the eigenvalues, if  $A = U\Lambda U^T$  with  $\Lambda = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d]$ , we know:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i.$$

• In many situations, access to A available only implicitly through a *matrix-vector multiplication* oracle.

# Spectral Sums

Given a symmetric positive semidefinite (PSD) matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  with eigen-decomposition  $A = U\Lambda U^T$  and eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^d$ , and desired function  $f(\cdot)$ , compute the **trace of the matrix function**  $f(A) = Uf(\Lambda)U^T$ , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f(\lambda_i).$$

- **Popular examples:** log-determinant  $(\log(x))$ , numerical rank (step function), spectral density  $\delta(x \lambda_i)$ , Schatten *p*-norms  $(x^{p/2})$ , von Neumann Entropy  $(x \log(x))$ , Estrada index  $(\exp(x))$ , trace of matrix inverse  $(\frac{1}{x})$ .
- **Applications**: machine learning, graph signal processing, quantum algorithms, scientific computing, statistics, computational biology and physics.
- **Naive approaches**: Eigenvalue decomposition, Cholesky Decomposition, singular value decomposition (SVD).

Cost:  $O(d^3)$  or [Theory:  $O(d^{\omega})$  and  $\omega = 2.373$ ].

# **Implicit Trace Estimation**

- Access to A implicitly through a *matrix-vector multiplication oracle*.
- Typically useful when A is not stored explicitly, but we have an efficient algorithm for multiplying A by a vector.
- Matrix-vector products  $(Matvecs) \cot O(\operatorname{nnz}(A))$ .
- Examples: Hessians in optimization, matrix functions as polynomials, structured matrices, etc.



Figure 1: How many matvecs  $A\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, A\mathbf{x}_m$  are needed to estimate the trace?

# A naive approach

- Set  $x_l = e_l$  for l = 1, ..., d.
- Return  $\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \sum_{l=1}^{d} x_l^T A x_l$ .
- Total computational cost O(nnz(A)d).



Figure 2: Exact solution, but required d matvecs. Can we approximately estimate the trace with  $\ll d$  matvecs?

# Hutchinson's Stochastic Trace Estimator

• Hutchinson [Hutchinson, 1990] proposed a method for implicit matrix trace estimation:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_{l}^{T} A \mathbf{x}_{l}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_l$ , l = 1, ..., m, are random vectors with i.i.d. random  $\{+1, -1\}$  entries.

- Randomized method: Simple, powerful, and widely used method for trace estimation.
- Theoretical analyses were presented in [Avron, Toledo 2011], [Roosta, Ascher 2015].



Figure 3: Radamacher distribution: vectors with  $\pm 1$  entries with equal probabilities.

**Theorem 1.** Let A be an  $d \times d$  symmetric positive semidefinite (PSD) matrix and  $x_l, l = 1, ..., m$ be random starting vectors with Rademacher distribution. Then, for  $\tilde{Tr}_m(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^m x_l^T A x_l$ , with  $m = O\left(\frac{\log(1/\eta)}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\tilde{Tr}_m(A) - Tr(A)\right| \le \varepsilon \left|Tr(A)\right|\right] \ge 1 - \eta$$

**Theorem 2** (Hutchinson's Estimator). Draw  $x_l, l = 1, ..., m$ , vectors with i.i.d. random  $\{+1, -1\}$  entries. Return  $\tilde{T}r_m(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^m x_l^T A x_l$  as an approximation to Tr(A).

# Expected value analysis:

For a single random  $\pm 1$  vector x, we have

$$E[\tilde{T}r_m(A)] = E[x^T A x] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d x_i x_j A_{ij}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d E[x_i x_j A_{ij}] = \sum_{i=1}^d A_{ii}$$

So the estimator is correct in expectation:

$$E[Tr_m(A)] = Tr(A).$$

It is unbiased estimator.

#### Variance analysis:

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{\operatorname{Tr}}_{m}(A)] = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var}[\mathbf{x}_{l}^{T} A \mathbf{x}_{l}] = \frac{1}{m} \left( \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_{l}^{T} A \mathbf{x}_{l})^{2}] - \operatorname{Tr}(A)^{2} \right)$$
$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_{l}^{T} A \mathbf{x}_{l})^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \sum_{i,j} x_{i} x_{j} A_{ij} \right) \left( \sum_{i',j'} x_{i'} x_{j'} A_{i'j'} \right) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq j} 2A_{ij}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij} A_{ji} + \sum_{i} A_{ii}^{2}$$

We used that  $x_i x_j$  and  $x_{i'} x_{j'}$  are pairwise independent. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{\operatorname{Tr}}_m(A)] = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij}^2 + \frac{2}{m} \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2.$$

# Analysis

Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \tau) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{\tau^2}.$$

We have  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_m(A)] = \mathrm{Tr}(A)$  and  $\mathrm{Var}[\tilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_m(A)] \leq \frac{2}{m} \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2$ . Choosing  $\tau = \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(A)$ :

$$\Pr\left(\left|\tilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_m(A) - \mathrm{Tr}(A)\right| \ge \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(A)\right) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[\mathrm{Tr}_m(A)]}{(\epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(A))^2} \le \frac{2}{m\epsilon^2}.$$

For probability  $\eta$ , we can select  $m \geq \frac{2}{n\epsilon^2}$ .

Can improve this to  $m = O\left(\frac{\log(1/\eta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ , using Hanson-Wright inequality.

# Improved Analysis

Hanson-Wright inequality [Hanson & Wright, 1971]: Given a symmetric matrix A and random vector x with i.i.d. sub-Gaussian entries, with constant sub-Gaussian parameter C, we have for  $t \ge 0$ :

$$\Pr\left(|\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}]| \ge t\right) \le 2 \exp\left(-c \cdot \min\left(\frac{t^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2}, \frac{t}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}\right)\right),$$

for some universal constant c > 0 that only depending on C.

#### Markov's inequality:

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \tau) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^q]}{\tau^q}.$$

Choose  $\tau = (2\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \cdot \text{Tr}(A)$  and  $q = \log(1/\eta)$ , then with some work we get the theorem with

$$m = O\left(\frac{\log(1/\eta)}{\epsilon^2}\right).$$

Alternatively, can also use the Markov's inequality (the exponential version) and some recent results, see [Roosta, Ascher 2015].

### Exercise:

Would the proof using the Chebyshev inequality work if x<sub>l</sub>'s are drawn from i.i.d Gaussian distribution N(0,1)? What are the expectation and the variance of the estimate? (Hint: Note that y<sub>l</sub> = Ux<sub>l</sub> are also Gaussian for unitary U. χ<sup>2</sup>-distribution.)

### **Exercise Solution:**

For vectors  $x_l$  drawn from an i.i.d Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , the proof using the Chebyshev inequality would still be valid because Gaussian random variables have finite variance. The expectation and variance of the estimate can be computed as follows:

The expectation of the estimator  $\tilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_m(A)$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_m(A)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{l=1}^m x_l^T A x_l\right] = \mathbb{E}[x_l^T A x_l] = \mathrm{Tr}(A),$$

since the expectation of  $x_i^2$  is 1 and the expectation of  $x_i x_j$  for  $i \neq j$  is 0.

The variance of  $x_l^T A x_l$  when  $x_l$  has a Gaussian distribution is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(x_l^T A x_l) = 2 \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij}^2,$$

which is 2 times the sum of the squares of the off-diagonal elements of A, due to the property that  $y_l = Ux_l$  are also Gaussian for unitary U, which maintains the distribution of  $x_l$  due to the rotational invariance of the Gaussian distribution. The  $\chi^2$ -distribution of  $y_l^T y_l$  has a variance of 2d where d is the number of degrees of freedom.

Therefore, the variance of our estimator  $Tr_m(A)$  is:

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{\operatorname{Tr}}_{m}(A)] = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var}(x_{l}^{T} A x_{l}) = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij}^{2}$$

# Hutch++

## Hutch++: Improved trace estimator

- Hutchinson's estimator is powerful, and gives a nice rate of convergence. But requires  $m = O(1/\epsilon^2)$  random vectors and matvecs.
- Recent results by Meyer et al., 2021, showed we can improve this to  $m = O(1/\epsilon)$  matvecs.
- Idea of *Hutch++* Matrices might have decaying eigenvalues. Trace of a low rank approximation of the matrix is a good approximation to the matrix trace.
- Split the trace (spectrum) as sum of trace of top k eigenvalues and bottom n k eigenvalues.

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(A_k) + \operatorname{Tr}(A - A_k).$$

Explicitly estimate the top few eigenvalues of A. Use Hutchinson's for the rest.

- Find a good rank-k approximation  $\hat{A}_k$ .
- Observe  $\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A}_k) + \operatorname{Tr}(A \hat{A}_k).$
- Compute  $\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A}_k)$  exactly.
- Return Hutch<sup>++</sup>(A) = Tr( $\hat{A}_k$ ) +  $\tilde{Tr}_m(A \hat{A}_k)$ .

If  $k = m = O(1/\epsilon)$ , then  $|\operatorname{Hutch}^{++}(A) - \operatorname{Tr}(A)| \le \epsilon \operatorname{Tr}(A)$ .

## Good low rank approximation

Let  $A_k$  be the best rank-k approximation of A.

# Lemma (Woo14)

Let  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{dxm}$  have i.i.d. random entries from  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ ,  $Q = \operatorname{orth}(AS)$  and  $\hat{A}_k = QQ^T A$ . Then if  $m = O(k + \log(1/\delta))$ , with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$||A - \hat{A}_k||_F \le 2||A - A_k||_F$$

We can compute  $Tr(\hat{A}_k)$  with 2m matvecs with A and O(mn) space:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A}_k) = \operatorname{Tr}(QQ^T A) = \operatorname{Tr}(Q^T (AQ))$$

### Hutch++ Algorithm

**Input**: Number of matvecs m and input matrix A.

- Sample  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m/3}$  and  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m/3}$  with i.i.d. entries from  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
- Compute  $Q = \operatorname{orth}(AS)$ .
- Return Hutch++(A) = Tr(Q<sup>T</sup>(AQ)) +  $\frac{3}{m}$ Tr(G<sup>T</sup>(I QQ<sup>T</sup>)A(I QQ<sup>T</sup>)G).

We have the following result:

### Lemma

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a PSD matrix and  $A_k$  be its best rank-k approximation. Then,

$$\|A - A_k\|_F \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \operatorname{Tr}(A)$$

# Hutch++ mean and variance

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a PSD matrix, for fixed k and m, construct  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$  as before. Let  $Hutch++(A) = Tr(Q^T(AQ)) + \tilde{T}r_m((I - QQ^T)A)$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[Hutch++(A)] = Tr(A)$$
$$Var[Hutch++(A)] \le \frac{1}{k}Tr(A^2)$$

For the mean, we have  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Hutch}++(A)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(Q^T(AQ))] + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\text{Tr}}_m((I-QQ^T)A)].$ For variance, we use the Conditional Variance Formula,

$$\operatorname{Var}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)|Q]] + \operatorname{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)|Q]].$$

Can show  $\operatorname{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)|Q]] = 0.$ 

Given Q fixed,  $\operatorname{Tr}(Q^T(AQ))$  is a constant as it is the exact trace of the k-rank approximation of A. Therefore, the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)|Q]$  given Q is also a constant. Hence, the conditional variance  $\operatorname{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Hutch}++(A)|Q]]$  is zero, because the variance of a constant is zero.

 $\operatorname{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Hutch} + +(A)|Q]] = 0$ 

since the variance of a constant, which is the value of Hutch++(A) given Q, is always zero regardless of the distribution of Q.

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}[\operatorname{Hutch} + +(A)|Q]] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}[\operatorname{Tr}(Q^{T}(AQ))] + \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}[\widetilde{\operatorname{Tr}}_{m}((I - QQ^{T})A)]]$$
$$= 0 + \frac{2}{m}\mathbb{E}[\|\widetilde{\operatorname{Tr}}_{m}((I - QQ^{T})A)\|_{F}^{2}]$$
$$\leq \frac{4}{m}\|A - A_{k}\|_{F}^{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{km}\operatorname{Tr}^{2}(A)$$